

FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF FLORIDA

Case No. 5D2026-1714
LT Case No. 35-2013-CF-2220-A

JERMAINE LERONE GREENE,

Appellant,

v.

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Appellee.

Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus,
A Case of Original Jurisdiction.

Jermaine Lerone Greene, Punta Gorda, pro se.

No Appearance for Appellee.

September 10, 2026

PER CURIAM.

Petitioner's apparent abuse of the legal process by his repetitive, malicious, or frivolous pro se filings that have been denied or dismissed by this Court on numerous occasions regarding his judgments and sentences imposed in Lake County Case No. 35-2013-CF-2220-A, resulted in Petitioner being cautioned that any further pro se filings in this Court asserting claims stemming from the identified case number may result in sanctions. He was also ordered to show cause why he should not be prohibited from filing any appeal, petition, pleading, or motion pertaining to the convictions and sentences rendered in this Lake

County Case No. 35-2013-CF-2220-A unless reviewed and signed by an attorney licensed to practice in the State of Florida. *See* § 944.279(1), Fla. Stat. (2025); *State v. Spencer*, 751 So. 2d 47 (Fla. 1999). Having carefully considered Petitioner’s continued abuse of the legal process in his repetitive filings, and the lack of a response to said order to show cause, we conclude that Petitioner is abusing the judicial process and should be barred from further pro se filings.

Therefore, to conserve judicial resources, Petitioner is prohibited from filing with this Court any further pro se filings concerning the above referenced case. The Clerk of this Court is directed not to accept any further pro se filings concerning this referenced case. Any future filings regarding the referenced case will be summarily rejected by the Clerk, unless filed by a member in good standing of The Florida Bar. *See Isley v. State*, 652 So. 2d 409, 411 (Fla. 5th DCA 1995) (“Enough is enough.”). The Clerk is further directed to forward a certified copy of this opinion to the appropriate institution for consideration of disciplinary proceedings. *See* § 944.279(1), Fla. Stat. (2018); *Simpkins v. State*, 909 So. 2d 427, 428 (Fla. 5th DCA 2005).

PETITIONER BARRED from further pro se filings.

MAKAR, BOATWRIGHT, and KILBANE, JJ., concur.

Not final until disposition of any timely and authorized motion under Fla. R. App. P. 9.330 or 9.331.
