

Third District Court of Appeal

State of Florida

Opinion filed October 1, 2026.
Not final until disposition of timely filed motion for rehearing.

No. 3D25-0082
Lower Tribunal No. 20-23032-CA-01

Robert Sorensen,
Appellant,

vs.

Citizens Property Insurance Corporation,
Appellee.

An Appeal from the Circuit Court for Miami-Dade County, William Thomas, Judge.

Herrera Law, PLLC, and Christopher Herrera, for appellant.

De Novo, and Kathryn L. Ender, for appellee.

Before SCALES, C.J., and LINDSEY, and MILLER, JJ.

PER CURIAM.

Affirmed. See Melrose Ventures, LLC v. Uptempo Mktg. Corp., 418 So. 3d 217, 220 (Fla. 6th DCA 2025) (“[A]s a general rule, new and different arguments untimely raised for the first time in motions for rehearing which were denied are unpreserved.” (citing Ray Med. Ctr., Inc. v. Fla. Ins. Guar. Ass’n, 406 So. 3d 1086, 1088 n.2 (Fla. 3d DCA 2025))); see also Heritage Prop. & Cas. Ins. Co. v. Wellington Place HOA, Inc., 373 So. 3d 1, 6 (Fla. 4th DCA 2023) (holding the insured’s claim was ripe for appraisal because the insurer had admitted coverage for the initial claim, and the claim remained open for adjustment when the insured reported additional damage pursuant to the policy); Citizens Prop. Ins. Corp. v. River Manor Condo. Ass’n, Inc., 125 So. 3d 846, 854 (Fla. 4th DCA 2013) (holding Citizen’s contention that the appraisers “improperly duplicated itemized losses” was an “amount of loss” issue “solely within the province of the appraisers”).